



Application Number: 10/709460, EFS ID: 60540, Timestamp: 2004-05-06 21:58:17 EDT
The patent was Electronically filed on May 6, 2004, Provisional Patent #60532384 12/26/03,
Knowledge Driven Architecture, Yefim (Jeff) Zhuk, **Difference between old and current claims**
Attention: Cheyne Dune Ly, phone: 571-272-0716

Claims

1. (Currently Amended).—A method of transforming application scenarios written with ontology expressions into software applications, comprising:

-
- ~~—capturing application translating business rules, captured as ontology expressions in the a knowledgebase~~
- ~~—and mixing service messages with the business rules, into an application scenario; transforming the actions in said application scenarios~~
- ~~—transforming actsscenario into interactions with at least one of scenarios and business rules into interactions with: a knowledgebase, one or more presentation components, and the underlying application services.~~
-

2. (Currently Amended).The method of claim 1, further comprising:

-
- (a) ~~—Knowledgebase containing business rules and application scenarios that reflect application requirements~~

~~(b) Application Scenario Player capable at least one of playing scenarios and transforming acts;~~

~~- Separation of scenarios and business rules into interactions with knowledgebase, presentation components, and the underlying application services;~~

~~(c) Service Connector,~~

~~(d) Presenter components,~~

~~(e) Service components~~

~~(f) Communicator and Optimizer components~~

~~(g) methods enabling:~~

~~(i) separation of application software into the application business layer, which describes business rules as ontology expressions and service calls, and the application service messages, and the system layer, which describes services;~~

~~(ii) storage of business layer description that reflects application requirements as business rules and scenarios in the knowledgebase.~~

~~(iii) interpretation- Creation and modification of business rules and scenarios that comprise the application business layer at run-time;~~

- Analysis of successful scenario execution including at least one of
~~scenarios and business rules into interactions with knowledgebase,~~
~~presentation components, and the underlying application services~~
- (iv) ~~creation and modification of business rules and scenarios that~~
~~comprise the application layer at run time~~
- (v) ~~invocation of services designed as integration ready components with~~
~~differentiated APIs~~
- (vi) ~~translation of snapshots of existing rules and scenarios into source~~
~~code of a traditional fixed form application with better performance and less~~
~~flexibility~~
- (vii) ~~analysis of successful scenario execution including:~~
 - ~~a) history of successes~~
 - ~~b) history of interpretation failures~~
 - ~~c) learning scenarios that prompt an agent (a user or a program) to re-~~
~~define the input or to provide more details for better interpretation;~~
 - ~~d) A queue of scenarios with un-answered questions to resolve~~
~~unsuccessful interpretations;~~

~~_____ (viii) growing awareness about knowledge and service elements existing
on distributed network systems built with this architecture~~

~~_____ (ix) multiple forms of collaboration sharing knowledge and service
resources over distributed networks~~

~~_____ .A system for storing and executing application
scenarios, comprising: a knowledgebase containing knowledge facts and
business rules captured as ontological expressions, as well as sets of said
business rules called application scenarios;~~

~~- A Scenario Player that is able to transform actions captured in application
scenarios into interactions with at least one of a knowledgebase, presentation
components, and underlying services.~~

3. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture of claim 1~~The method
and system of claim 2, wherein application scenarios are written with the
Application Scenario Language ~~that allows developers or business experts to
quickly build the software application layer by describing, which describes~~
application flow as a set of scenarios that define interactions between system
components and agents, where said agents can be users, human beings, or
programs, for example, a partner program engaged in a common business
transaction.

4. (Currently Amended) Knowledge-driven architecture The system of claim

23, wherein application scenarios consist of scenario ~~acts~~ actions that can define any of:

- (i) interactions between system components and agents
- (ii) prompt messages to agents, expected agent responses, if any, and rules for interpretation of agent responses
- (iii) invocations of knowledgebase services, like queries, assertions, etc. using service names and optional arguments
- (iv) invocations of application services using service and operation names and optional arguments
- (v) variables that are replaced with their values at run-time
- (vi) conditions based on run-time variable values and knowledgebase queries
- (vii) the order of execution of scenarios and scenario acts
- (viii) aliases, or multiple ways of expressing the same meaning
- (ix) translation policies related to input
- (x) possible agent events and event handling rules
- (xi) instructions for presentation components

5. (Currently Amended) ~~Knowledge-driven architecture of claim 1 wherein the Presenter can include~~ The system of claim 4, further comprising a Presenter component that includes one or more of:

(i) ~~Formatter that prepares data for audio or video interaction~~presentation
or for communication ~~te~~with other programs

(ii) ~~Communicator that provides formatted data communications via peer-~~
~~to-peer distributed networks or any other protocols~~

(iii) ~~Performer that uses formatted data for actual presentation via voice or~~
~~screen-~~

(iv) ~~special~~(iii) Special engines such as speech, handwriting, or image
recognition, which might ~~can~~ target a specific ~~typetypes~~ of user input.

-

6. **(Currently Amended)**~~Knowledge-driven architecture of claim 1 wherein the~~
~~knowledgebase component includes a *Knowledge Service* component~~The
method of claim 2, further comprising: awareness of and interaction with
knowledgebase and service elements existing on distributed network systems
built with this architecture; at least one method enabling collaboration and
sharing of knowledge and service resources over distributed networks.

-

7. **(Currently Amended)**~~Knowledge-driven architecture of claim 5 wherein the~~
~~*Knowledge Service* component serves as an adapter to the knowledgebase,~~
~~adapting the knowledge engine interface to the interface required by the Service~~
~~Connector.~~The method of claim 2, further comprising: translation of existing

application scenarios into source code for traditional fixed-form applications with better performance but less flexibility.

-

8. **(Currently Amended)**~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~The system of claim 4 wherein ~~the~~4, further comprising a Service Connector component, which includes:

(i) Object Retrieval that is able to find an existing **service** object or load the requested service ~~class~~definition and instantiate the service object at run-time

(ii) Object Registry that associates service objects with service and object names, stores service objects, and makes them reusable

(iii) Method Retrieval that retrieves the proper service method belonging to a selected service object based on the provided method arguments

(iv) Method Performer that performs the requested service operation on the selected service object

-

9. **(Currently Amended)**~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~The system of claim 4 wherein ~~the~~4, further comprising an Optimizer component that takes a snapshot of existing rules and scenarios and translates them into source code in a language such as Java or C#, which can later be compiled into binary code to fix the current application rules into a regular application that lacks flexibility but provides better performance.

-

10. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 14,
wherein ~~the~~ the Application Scenario Player contains but is not limited to ~~to~~ at
least one of

(i) Input Type Checker that checks current input and, depending on its
type, submits the input to one of several interpreters

_____ (ii) ~~One or more interpreters, such as the Scenario Act Interpreter,~~
~~the Prompt Response Interpreter, the New Agent Request Interpreter, etc. that,~~
~~based on scenario and knowledgebase rules, translate acts of scenarios or any~~
~~other input into a direct action by one of the system components-~~ based on
scenario and knowledgebase rules

_____ (iii) Queue of Scenarios that stores the current scenario when it
cannot be executed at the current time, but needs to be executed later.

_____ (iv) Success Analysis component that can store and ~~retriever~~ retrieve
a history of interpretation successes and failures, and, in the case of
interpretation failure ~~invokes~~ can invoke one of several learning scenarios that
prompt an agent (a user or a program) to re-define the input or to provide more
details for a better interpretation.

-

11. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 14,
wherein knowledge facts, service, and scenario components are endowed with
usage and value properties.

-

12. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 910, wherein the ~~a~~ Success Analysis component maintains and consistently refines a list of previously used services with their APIs, keywords, descriptions, and related scenarios in the knowledgebase, and re-evaluates the usage and value properties of the services.

-

13. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 912, wherein the ~~a~~ New Agent Request interpreter uses the list of previously used services with their APIs interface definitions, keywords, descriptions, and related scenarios ~~for automatic translation of~~ to automatically translate user requests into service APIs interfaces and scenario ~~acts~~ actions.

-

14. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 913, wherein the New Agent Request interpreter uses a list of previously used services with their APIs interfaces, keywords, descriptions, and related scenarios to offer selected parts of this information to the user for semi-
~~automatic~~ automated translation of new requests into service APIs interfaces and scenario ~~acts~~ actions.

-

15. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 4 wherein the ~~4~~, further comprising a Communicator that provides formatted data

communications via peer-to-peer distributed networks or any other protocols to provide collaborative access to knowledge and services existing on distributed network systems built with this architecture-

16. **(Currently Amended)** ~~Knowledge-driven architecture~~ The system of claim 4415, wherein the Success Analysis component propagates via the Communicator to distributed network systems information on a new service API or a new knowledge subject after the first success operation that included the service or the knowledge subject and then after each update provided locally by the Success Analysis component.

17. **(New)** The system of claim 8, further comprising a Knowledge Service component, which serves as an adapter to the knowledgebase, adapting the knowledge engine interface to the interface required by the Service Connector.

18. **(New)** The method of claim 3, further comprising: creation and modification knowledge facts, services, and composite service scenarios

19. **(New)** The method of claim 3, further comprising: initial definition of the usage and value properties of newly created facts, services, and scenarios and ability to change these values at run time

Application Number: 10/709460, EFS ID: 60540, Timestamp: 2004-05-06 21:58:17 EDT
The patent was Electronically filed on May 6, 2004, Provisional Patent #60532384 12/26/03,
Knowledge Driven Architecture, Yefim (Jeff) Zhuk. **Difference between old and current claims**
Attention: Cheyne Dune Ly, phone: 571-272-0716

20. (New) The method of claim 3, further comprising: translation of existing
application scenarios into source code for traditional fixed-form applications with
better performance but less flexibility.